| 10/617,910   ELLIOTT, GILLIAN DAPHNE  | Evaminar-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application I                                | <b>10</b> .                           | Applicant(s)                     | -           |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| All Participants:  (1) Zechariah Lucas  (2) Susan Alpert Siegel, Ph.D.  Date of Interview: 6 April 2006  Time:  Type of Interview:  Telephonic  Video Conference  Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  MA  Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:  NA  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  |   | 10/617,910                                   |                                       | ELLIOTT, GILLIA                  | N DAPHNE    |
| All Participants:  (1) Zachariah Lucas. (2) Susan Albert Siegel, Ph.D (4)   | Examiner-initiated interview Summa  | Examiner                                     |                                       | Art Unit                         |             |
| (1) Zachariah Lucas. (2) Susan Alpert Siegel, Ph.D. (4)  Date of Interview: 6 April 2006 Time: Time: Telephonic   |   | Zachariah Luc                                | cas .                                 | 1648                             |             |
| Calibration   Calibration | All Participants:   | Status of A                                  | pplication:                           |                                  |             |
| Date of Interview: 6 April 2006 Time:  Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)   | (1) <u>Zachariah Lucas</u> .  | (3)  | _·                                    |                                  |             |
| Type of Interview:  Type of Interview: Telephonic Tydeo Conference Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  NA  Claims discussed: 19-21  Prior art documents discussed:  NA  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | (2) Susan Alpert Siegel, Ph.D.  | (4)  | <b>.</b> ·                            |                                  |             |
| Telephonic  ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  NA  Claims discussed:  19-21  Prior art documents discussed:  NA  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | Date of Interview: <u>6 April 2006</u>  | Time:  | _                                     |                                  |             |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  MA  Claims discussed:  19-21  Prior art documents discussed:  NA  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   | <ul> <li>☐ Telephonic</li> <li>☐ Video Conference</li> <li>☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant</li> <li>☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes</li> </ul>  |  | ative)                                |                                  | ·           |
| Claims discussed: 19-21  Prior art documents discussed: NA  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | Part I.   |  |                                       | ·                                |             |
| Prior art documents discussed:  NA  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | • • • •   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   |   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  |   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   | Part II.  |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| Part III.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   | SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE   | GENERAL NATURE                               | OF WHAT WAS                           | DISCUSSED:                       |             |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   |   | elled claim 1 and asked                      | for permission to a                   | amend the claims                 | to depend   |
| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | Part III.   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  | directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segment of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | on. The examiner will parate record of the s | I provide a writtenubstance of the in | n summary of the nterview, since | e substance |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  | 7 7 -   |  |                                       |                                  |             |
|   | (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ag  | pplicant/Applicant's Re                      | epresentative Sig                     | nature – if appro                | opriate)    |